

	Council 4 April 2016
Title	Referral to Council from Assets Regeneration and Growth Committee – Colindale Office Project
Report of	Head of Governance
Wards	All
Status	Public
Enclosures	Annex 1 – Report to Assets Regeneration and Growth Committee, 17 th March 2016
Officer Contact Details	Andrew Charlwood, Head of Governance andrew.charlwood@barnet.gov.uk 020 8359 2014

Summary

Agenda item 10 'Colindale Office Project' was referred up to Full Council by the requisite number of members of the Assets Regeneration and Growth Committee on 17 March 2016, as outlined in the Constitution. Council is therefore requested to consider the recommendations and take a decision on them.

Recommendations

That Council consider and vote on the recommendations contained in agenda item 10 of the meeting on 17 March 2016.

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 The Constitution allows a certain number of Members to refer a matter on which a Committee has taken a decision to its parent body. At the meeting on 17th March 2016, the Assets Regeneration and Growth Committee considered agenda item 10 (Colindale Office Project). It was noted that recommendation 4 be amended to include 'subject to planning approval' in the last sentence.

Following discussion of agenda item 10, the Chairman moved to a vote. Votes on the report was recorded as follows:

For: 4

Against: 4

Abstain: 0

The Chairman used his casting vote in favour.

Immediately following the vote Councillor Cooke, moved to refer the item to the next practicable meeting of Full Council. The referral was supported by:

- Councillor Coakley-Webb
- Councillor Narenthira
- Councillor Philip Cohen

Context for referral following officer presentation and debate:

- Not convinced that legally the item can be decided upon following confirmation that the decision cannot be taken to Full Council and no decision at Planning Committee
- Not convinced that the decision to refer to the next Planning Committee 31st March 2016 is relevant when the premise of the scheme without parking is flawed
- Not convinced that the Assets, Regeneration and Growth Committee has no remit to comment on parking considerations relevant to the scheme – should be in Assets, Regeneration and Growth Committee remit
- Not convinced that amending the recommendation of the Assets, Regeneration and Growth Committee report to include “subject to planning approval” is appropriate for the scheme
- Not convinced that there are sufficient disabled parking spaces allocated within the proposal whether on site or at RAF museum

The reasons given for the request to refer the item were:

- Inadequate parking provision proposed
- The council is the landowner and planning applicant therefore Assets, Regeneration and Growth Committee Members should have the right to determine the types of planning applications submitted on behalf of the Council

- 1.2 As the required number of Members of the Assets Regeneration and Growth Committee indicated immediately after the decision had been taken that they required the decision to be referred up, the procedures to be followed will be those set out in Paragraph 20 of Full Council Procedure Rules (Rules of Debate). For reports of Committees (including Overview and Scrutiny Committees), the Chairman of the relevant committee, or the Vice-Chairman in their absence, will move reception of the report and adoption of the

recommendations. This report need not be seconded. The leader of each of the other groups, or another member of their group, will then have an opportunity to comment on the recommendation, and at the end of the time allowed the Mayor will bring this part of the debate to an end, whether or not all those entitled have spoken or completed their speeches.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 As set out in the substantive report.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

3.1 As set out in the substantive report.

4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

5.1.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

5.2.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

5.3.1 Constitution, Responsibility for Functions, Paragraph 6, Members Rights to Refer Matters to Parent Body – states that “A specified number of Members of a Committee or Sub-Committee may require that any decision of the Committee or Sub-Committee is referred up to the next practicable meeting of Full Council or the relevant Committee to which the Committee or Sub-Committee reports, by indicating immediately after the decision is taken that they require the decision to be referred up. The report to Full Council or the relevant Committee to which the Committee or Sub-Committee reports on the referral shall set out the reasons given for the referral.”

5.3.2 Constitution, Full Council Procedure Rules, Paragraphs 20 and 21- Rules of Debate and Time for Debate

5.4 Risk Management

5.4.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5.5 Equalities and Diversity

5.5.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5.6 Consultation and Engagement

5.6.1 None

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 Minutes of the meeting of the Assets, Regeneration and Growth Committee of 17th March 2016.